p20

Previous references.
G.O. No 5063 3-8-06
L.F. 51-02

File No. 33
of 1904-5

190 4

(Municipal)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—7.

PART B. FOR September 1904.

SUBJECT.

Unauthorized construction of a verandah by Mr. Bomaji Rao retired Assistant Commr on the Municipal land in front of his house in Bhavnagar Bandpatar Rd.

FILE No. Ml. 33 of 1904-05.

Unauthorized construction of a revetment by Mr. Annaji Rao, retired Assistant Commissioner on the Municipal land in front of his house in Alsurpet, Bangalore City.

1 From the President, Bangalore City, No. 14, dated 11th July 1904.
Submits a memo of the facts of the case connected with the construction of a revetment on Municipal land by Mr. Annaji Rao without permission and considers it undesirable to grant to him (Mr. Annaji Rao) a copy of the order passed in the matter by Mr. D. Devaraj Urs, late President of the Municipal Commission.

2 From Mr. Annaji Rao, retired Assistant Commissioner, dated 12th July 1904.
Complains that he has not yet been given the copy of the order applied for by him.

3 To the President, Bangalore City, No. 480, dated 20th July 1904.
Requests him to give a copy of the order applied for by Mr. Annaji Rao and if the present President thinks that the order passed by his predecessor is not right, he should detail the case for the orders of Government.

3 Endorsement to Annaji Rao, Esq., retired Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore City, No. 481-3-3, dated 16th July 1904.
Informs him that the President has been asked to give him a copy.

4 From the President, Bangalore City, No. 27, dated 21st July 1904.
Reports that a copy of the order applied for was given to Mr. Annaji Rao and solicits orders as to whether Mr. Devaraj Urs' order which is contrary to his predecessor's order in the matter, is to be taken as final.

5 From the Secretary, General and Revenue Department, No. 1147, dated 10th August 1904.
Requests him to forward to this office copies of the orders passed in the matter by Mr. Sparkes and Mr. D. Devaraj Urs.

6 From the President, Bangalore City, No. 74, dated 11th August 1904.
Submits as called, for copies of the orders passed by Mr. Sparkes and Mr. D. Devaraj Urs in regard to the revetment built by Mr. Annaji Rao.

7 From the Secretary, General and Revenue Department, No. 1996, dated 7th September 1904.
Observes that Mr. D. Devaraj Urs was wrong in having revised his predecessor's order in the matter and directs that the order passed by Mr. Sparkes, as President, should be restored.

P. O. 5065—L, F.51-02, dated 29th June 1905.

R.S. 11] 1904-05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 33

FILE HEADING.

Unauthorized Construction of a verandah by Mr. Annaji Rao retired Assistant Commissioner on the Municipal land in front of his house in Alsoor Pete of the Bangalore City.

FROM

The President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City.

No. 14 Dated 11th July 1902 Received 13th July 1902.

Diary No. 280 276 | Enclos. 2 | Spare Copies. - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits a memo of the facts of the case and considers it undesirable to grant to Mr. Annaji Rao a Copy of the order passed in the matter by Mr. D Devaraj Ex Late President of the Municipal Commission.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The President's letter is in reply to this office docketed as 5063-1757-02 dated the 28th ult. ordering the grant of a Copy of the order asked for by Mr. Annaji Rao The President considers it inexpedient to grant him a Copy

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—19-8-01

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 33 of 1904-05

Serial No.	
	of that order.
2	Letter dated the 12th July 1902 from Mr. Annaji Rao – (Diary No. 276). Complains that he has not yet been given the copy of the order applied for by him. Submitted for orders. ~~He should be informed in the circumstances stated by the President a copy of the order if any can be given to Mr. Annaji Rao.~~ 9/7/04. The present President who declined to give a copy of his predecessor's order ought to have inspected the file and submitted a plain thread bare records. May be informed accordingly. 14/7/04 Reply that a copy of the order passed by the late President – should be given to Mr. Annajirao. If the present President thinks that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

order passed by his predecessor is not right
he should detail the case for
the orders of Govt
15.7.1904 orfd

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 33 of 1904-'05

Serial No. 4

Letter No 27 dated the 21st July 1904 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City.

States that a copy of the order applied for by Mr. Anandji Rao is being given to him, and solicits instructions as to whether Mr. D. Devaraj Urs's order in the matter, which is contrary to his predecessor's order and to the actual state of facts should be taken as final.

Submitted for orders.

29/7

27/7/04

1. The question of granting copy of an order passed being a matter of routine has been delegated.

2. Now the question of a President having passed an order upsetting the one made by his predecessor has to be considered.

Lay before the Rt. Commissioner

30.7.1904

207 30-7-04

Note—Contd. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-05

File No. 33 of 1904-05

Serial No.

The Sharda Mapedan order unfavorable to the Amarji Mas. Hi Successor the Amarji Mas requested that order. Thereupon a representation was made that his order had been passed under a misapprehension & accordingly request reconsideration. This representation remained undisposed of. The present President may dispose of in such manner as he might deem proper, because it to the Amarji Mas to take such action as he might be advised to take. Wooden of Court seem at this & also for.

Submitted to the Diwan Saheb. The question is if the present President may, sever his predecessor's order. 1.10.1904

664

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-8-01

eral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 33 of 1904

Serial No.

"Why should we anticipate the question? Get a copy of Mr. Devaraj's order — Branch II 3.VIII.04 Draft accepted 5.8.1904

Docketed

5/8

5 Docketed No. 1147-33-5 dated the 10th August 1904 to the President of the Bangalore City Municipal Commission Calls for Copies of the orders passed by Mr. Sparks and Mr. Devaraj's in the matter under reference

6 Letter No. 74 dated the 12th August 1904 from the President Submits Copies of the orders required

Submitted

Shall send 13/8

H Richmond 15/8/04

The papers called for by the Diwan Saheb are herewith submitted. The Case is simply this.

For the better protection of a

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 33 of 1904.

Serial No.

this house Mr Strangi Low built a stone revetment. The ~~Waihaere~~ Municipal Executive removed the revetment on the ground that permission was not taken for its construction & that the piece of ground on which the revetment had been constructed was Municipal property. Mr Strangi Low claimed the ground as his & asked for compensation for the revetment having been dismantled. Mr Sparkes decided that the land was not Mr Strangi Low's but that permission might be given him to rebuild the revetment on the condition of his not claiming the ground as his. But Mr Strangi Low appealed & Mr Sparkes' successor Mr Stevenson, the Resident

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Serial No.

the ground belonged to Mr. Arunjikow & that the Municipality should pay him the cost of the Revetment undone by the Municipal Executive But the Vice President asked Mr Devrajars to reconsider his order; but before that could be done Mr Devrajars left & Mr. Vaydhar succeeded him. He seems to agree with Mr Sparkes: but the question is if he may revise his predecessors order. It is also a question if Mr Devrajars was right in revising his predecessors order. - I am of humble opinion that the question has to be answered in the negative as otherwise every time a new president comes his predecessors orders would come under revision

Submitted to the [illegible]

MGCPB--10 000—10-5-04

ural and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 33 of 1904

Serial No.

3993/16-8-04

Hers' the Hon'ble Councillor

16-8-1904

Mr Macarthur apparently proposes to restore matters to the state they were in before the Viceroy's intervened with the order passed by his predecessor. I do not think any advice, order or interference from Govt. is called for

17-8-04

16-8-1904

ow 18/8

Have we the right to hear appeals against municipality? It is governed by Statute & let us see what provisions there are - If there is no such power I do not care to interfere

10467/18.8 No.

19. VIII. 04

P. 24/8 A. S. to sig 24/8

To Mr Ramiya for a draft

P. T. O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

—SCPB—10 000—19-8-04

ıral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 35 of 190.

Serial No.

Submitted. There is no explicit provision in the Municipal Regulations empowering the Govt to hear appeals against the Municipality. Such instances are not wanting to show that the Govt have all along been systematically exercising that power in the case of all appeals other than those against Municipal assessment. The Municipality being a body-corporate brought into existence by Government, this final Control over the acts of the Corporation is, it is submitted, implied as a matter of Course

Thafong
23/8/04

J Benning
26/8/04

Submitted to the Honourable Hon'ble 1st Councillor.

The proceedings of the Board are submitted to Govt for approval & remarks. This shows that the Board's actions are controlled by Govt. If the Board's resolutions & the President's orders are held to be absolute, it may

MG-CPB—10 000—19-5-01

Serial No.

inconvenient [illegible]. May the court require that the practice is in Bombay?

27.8.1904

405/27-8-1904

Seen. Let the President exercise his own judgment and keep his final orders. Our interference at this stage or any advice from us, I would deprecate.

29/8/04.

J. 2018

29.8.1904 I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of 1231/31.8.04 of appeal or revision, and I am directed to say that in the appeals which we ought to dispose of the rule that each presiding Presidency Magistrate should modify his predecessor's orders. In this case both the Devarayapuram and the Kapaleeswaram were wrong and their orders should be given effect to. The result will be the restoration of the order of the Sub-Collector. The parties concerned will I dare say contest their right by appeal in due form or in any other manner prescribed by law.

31.VIII.04 Asst

Draft Asst

Note—Contn. sheet 2.9.1904

[illegible] 2/9 Draft passed 3.9.1904

5/9 To the President, Bangalore. Please see the orders of Government in the above case.

Diary No 280 Jud. F 88-04 (2)

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City Bangalore, 12th July 1904.

No. 14.
Encls: two.

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 5065-L.F.51-02 dated the 28th Ultimo, ordering the grant of a copy asked for by Mr. Annaji Rao, retired Assistant Commissioner, I have the honor to submit herewith a Memo, for information and orders of the Government, explaining in detail the facts connected with the unauthorized construction of a revetment on Municipal land by Mr. Annaji Rao without permission.

2. From the Memo it will be seen how Mr. Annaji Rao tried to encroach upon Municipal land, how he was prevented from doing so and why copy of Mr. Devaraj Urs's order, which is not a final one, was not given to him.

3. I trust the Government will be satisfied with my action in the matter as it was purely actuated to guard the interests of the Municipality. I am satisfied that Mr. Annaji Rao cannot prove that the land in question is his, and therefore his setting the Municipal Rules at defiance, obstructing their execution and assuming a threatening attitude are to say the least, unwarrantable. It is questionable how he came to know the favorable orders passed by my predecessor. After taking a copy of which, he evidently means to sue the Municipality for damages and give lot of

unnecessary trouble If his intentions were good, he would not have failed to abide by Mr. Sparkes's decision and execute a muchalika and to comply with my request to him to prove to my satisfaction that the land in question was his.

4. The petition is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A.V. Nagarkar
President.

E.V.

Rel. F. 33—24 (2) 25

Memo regarding the unauthoriz-
-ed construction of a revetment by Mr.
Annaji Rao on Municipal land in front
of his house in Alsoor Pete.

Mr. Annaji Rao owns a house
at the East end of Alsoorpet facing to
the South. The extent of its premises
as given in the document produced by
him and as verified by actual measure-
ment by the Municipal Engineer is 76½
Feet. East to West and 63 Feet North to
South. In front of his house between
the wall and the public drain there is
a strip of land about 5 Feet in width.
This land is not included in the docu-
ment and is outside the premises of the
house and, being the road brim, is evi-
dently Municipal property.

2. In March 1903, Mr. Annaji
Rao commenced to repair the house with-
out obtaining the necessary license.
There was indication of slight encroach
-ment to the extent of 6" or 8" in rais
-ing the front wall. As the Municipal
Engineer was of opinion that it was not
properly an encroachment and as it was
also inappreciable, No action was taken
against him.

3. Again in April 1903, it was
noticed that Mr. Annaji Rao was building
a revetment and a culvert without per-
mission to the Municipal drain which

was

was a mud drain on the plea of protecting his wall and with a view -- eventually to converting the strip of land with the revetment into a verandah when there was an opportunity. As it was an encroachment on Municipal property, he was served - with a Notice to remove it within 24 hours on penalty of being liable in case of default to pay the cost of its removal by the Municipality. He replied that the strip of land was his, that he built the revetment to it as a protection to his house and that he would not remove it. He was called upon to prove his title to the land. He produced copy of the document already referred to in support of his claim. In the measurements given in the document the strip of land is not included. Excepting the said document of conveyance which fails to prove his ownership to that land, he has no other proofs to produce. As he thus failed to prove his ownership and to remove the revetment built by him to a length of 59 Feet, it was partly (21FT.) removed by Municipal agency as per orders of Mr.Sparkes the then President .Mr.Annaji Rao obstructed the workmen and prevented them from completely removing the - revetment and submitted a further representation to the President for reconsideration of the previous order. Thereupon Mr. Sparkes inspected the

spot on the 18th June 1903 and permitted him to rebuild it at his own cost after executing a muchalika that he would in no way utilize the ground between the revetment and the wall of the house, - measurements of which being mentioned in the muchalika.

Mr.Annaji Rao did not execute the --- muchalika and did not apply for permission to rebuild the revetment. On the other hand, he waited till the depar-ture of Mr. Sparkes on leave and taking advantage of Mr. Devaraj Urs's advent as President, sent a notice through Mr. Lawrance claiming damages to the extent of Rs. 250/ on account of the cost of reconstruction of the revetment.

4. Mr Devaraj Urs opined that the strip of land in dispute could not be Municipal property and proposed that an estimate might be got up of the work-- and the cost paid to Mr. Annaji Rao by the Municipality for its reconstruction.

5. As the above proposal was made - evidently on misconception and probably on misrepresentation of facts by Mr. Annaji Rao a further explanation of the facts of the case was submitted to Mr. Devaraj Urs by the Vice-President on 7th May last pointing out that the burden of proving that the land was his and - not Municipal rests with Mr. Annaji Rao and that he has not proved it and that Municipality was not liable to pay any-thing to Mr. Annaji Rao who should rather pay the cost of removing the revetment.

6

Mr. Devaraj Urs did not pass final orders in the matter and sent back the papers to be placed before me.

6. After I took charge of the Municipality, Mr. Annaji Rao applied on 28th May last for a copy of the order passed by Mr. Devaraj Urs. He was given an Endorsement that I would decline to give a copy until he proved his ownership to the land.

N. Nagarkar
President.

Pub F. 33—24 (2)

Lr 797

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

In the matter of a revetment built by me to support the foundation of my house an order was passed by the President Mr Dalvoi Devaraj Urs on the 3rd May last after inspection of the land & taking measurement of the ground.

As no copy of the order was sent to me, I applied for a copy of the order on the 28th. Strange to say that on the 31st I received an endorsement to the effect, that I must prove the land to be mine before a copy of the order can be given me. On the 1st instant, I wrote to the President, inviting his attention to the order of his predecessor in office, & pointing out that that officer had held & decided the ground to be mine, on a reference to my title deed &c. And requested him again to furnish me with a copy as already applied for.

Yours Obediently.

Dy 14/6
.ch (SA)
Dy 14/6

4

The President in his second endorse-
ment declines to give a copy of the order
applied for. Hence I am obliged
to seek your help & to request that you
will place the matter before proper autho-
rities so that a copy may be ordered
to be given me without further delay.

I remain Sir
Your most obedt Servant
M Annajirao

13th June 1904
Bangalore

30/4 Mysore 8) 1717 2nd F. 38-4 @ 5d 2 9

Diary no 276.

The Secretary to the Govt
of His Highness the
Maha Raja of Mysore
Govt & Rev Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to bring to your notice that I have not been fortunate enough to get a copy of the order of Mr. Dodson Devarajurs resident from the President of the Municipality. Even though I applied for it on the 1st of this month by a letter & sent a special messenger with a second letter on 11 inst to his office after you

had asked the President (2)
in your letter N° 5063 dated
L.F. 51.02
the 28th June last to grant
me a copy. Hence I am
compelled to trouble you
again to state that I get the
copy supplied for without
any further delay.

I beg to remain Sir
Your most obedt Servant
M Annaji Rao

Bangalore
12/7/04

Sir. In reply to my second letter
is that no copy can be given until
receipt of Government orders on the
report from the President's Office.

M Annaji Rao
12/7/04

1904-05

Sl 3

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The President of
the Municipal
Commissioner, Bangs.
Bore City.

Dated 25
Despatched
Received
General No. 480-33-2
No. L. B
Enclosure No.

His letter no 14 dated the 11th July 1904 in regard to Mr. Anaji Rao applicatin for a copy of the order passed by Mr. D. Devaraj Urs, late President of the City Municipality in the matter of a request made by him (Mr. Anaji Rao) to sanction the foundation of his house in Alamgeta.

~~With reference to~~ the above, I have the honour to observe that the order

G. R. S. 29A

12

passed by the Cabe President should be given to Mr Annaji Rao as applied for by him, and that if the present President thinks that the orders passed by his predecessor is not right, he should detail the case for the orders of Government. ~~in duplicate~~

~~with original records~~ and a plan of the land under contention with reference to the road & the adjacent boundaries

By order "

Secty ;)
order

H.D.
16/1/04

In Offg Secty

16/1

1584

R.S. 26]

File No. 33-R

Serial No. 3½

Entry No. of letter replied to 276

No. 487—33—3

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Immd)
Dated, the 16th July 1904

To Amaji Rao Esquire
Retired Asstt. Comr
Bangalore City.

Date of issue

Signed by

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 12th instant etc I am directed to inform you that you have not yet been given the copy of the order applied for by you. I have the honour to state that the President of the City Municipal Commissioners has been requested to give you the copy of the order referred to over.

There is a stamp here for Rs 1 annas eight and is not cancelled.

114

Signed by me 19/7/04
Compd No 1917

Report

(Secty's order)

SUBJECT.

D.O. 16/7/04 from Office Asst
D.O. 7/7/04

States that the President of the Bangalore City Municipality has been requested to grant him a copy of the order applied for.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—5,000—20-5-41

Diary No 522 Jud F 33-24 (4) 14

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City Bangalore, 21" July 1924.
22.

No. 27

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

S

With reference to your docket No. 480-33-2/L.B. dated the 19th Instant, I have the honor to state that a copy of the order passed by the late President is being given to Mr. Annaji Rao as now directed and to add that the order of Mr. Devaraj Urs is quite opposite to what was passed by his predecessor in office.

2. Mr. Sparkes held that the land in question was Municipal property and decided that Mr. Annaji Rao should rebuild the revetment at his own cost and execute a muchalika binding himself not to utilize the land next to the drain for his own use.

3. Mr. Devaraj Urs not only decided that the said land belonged to Mr. Annaji Rao but also ordered that the Municipality should pay him the cost of revetment.

4. On my personal inspection of the spot and after obtaining the measurements of lands owned by Mr. Annaji Rao as per his documents, I found that Mr. Devaraj Urs's decision was opposed to the facts of the case and therefore considered his order not final. Copy of it was not accordingly given to Mr. Annaji Rao at the first instance unless he established his claim to the land.

5. The details of the contention between Mr. Annaji Rao and the Municipality are fully reported already.

15

6. Orders are solicited on the main points at issue, viz.

(1) Whether Mr. Annaji Rao should be declared to be the owner of the land in dispute though he failed to prove his claim thereto.

(2) Whether the Municipality is liable to pay him the cost of rebuilding the revetment of which only a portion was removed by the Municipality though Mr. Sparkes had ordered that Mr. Annaji Rao must build it at his own cost .. subject to certain conditions, as he had originally constructed it on municipal land and without permission.

(3) Whether Mr. Devaraj Urs's order should be taken as a final decision in the matter although it is opposed to the actual facts of the case.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Nagarkar

President.

1904-05

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To The President of the
Genl. Conservancy

Dated
Despatched 9/8/04 Bangalore City
Received

General No. 1147 - 33-5
No.

Enclosure No.

Referring to his letter
No. 27 dated the 21st July
1904 in regard to the re-
vetment built by Dewan
Anandji Rao to support
the foundation of his
house in Bloomfield,
I have the honour to request
the President
to be so good as
to forward to this office
copies of the orders
passed in the matter by
Mr. Sparke and Mr.
Anandji Rao D. Devanji Rao

By order
A.B. 9/8/04
for Secy.

G. R. S. 29A

By order
per
9/8/04
Comp. Bk
9.8
C. Rajaraj
A. Ramaya

Diary No 971 R.L.F. 33-4 (6) 17

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City Bangalore, 12 August 1904

No. 74 with Enclosure

From

THE PRESIDENT;
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sm,

As called for in your docket No. 1147-33-5 Municipal dated the 10th Instant, I have the honor to submit herewith copies of the orders referred to passed by Mr. Sparkes and Mr. D. Devaraj Urs in regard to the revetment built by Mr. Annaji Rao.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A.V. Nagarkar
President.

kgs

Incl. F. 33-34 (6)

Copies of orders passed by Messrs. H.H.Sparkes and D. Devaraj Urs in regard to the revetment built by Mr. Annaji Rao.

I inspected this and after seeing the measurements taken conclude that the revetment was built on Municipal ground in the drain and permission should have first been obtained . The Municipal servants were therefore in the right in pulling it down; as however the revetment proposed to be rebuilt is in line with other revetments in the drain, sustaining bridges &c., permission may be given to rebuild it on Mr. Annaji Rao executing a muchalika that he will in ne way utilize the ground between the revetment and present wall of the house, measurement of which should be mentioned in the muchalika.

18/6/'03.

(SD). H.H.Sparkes.

From the perusal of the record I do not see that the strip of land in question belongs to the Municipality. Mr. Annaji Rao was wrong in having built the revetment without the permission of the Municipality but after he built it the Municipality should not have undone it before satisfying that the land belonged to it. Instead of pushing the matter to any further length, an estimate may now be prepared of the work which Mr. Annaji Rao had got done and the cost of it may be paid to him from the Muni-cipality for reconstructing the drain.

3/5/'04

(SD). D.Devaraj Urs.

'Copied'
President

G.R.S. 23]

File No. 33
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 971

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Rnl ;)

Read, the 2nd Septr 1904

Date of issue 7/9/04

Signed by [illegible]

READ.—

Correspondence ending with letter No 74 dated the 11th August 1904 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, in regard to the revetment built by Mr Annaji Rao in front of his house in Alambadi of the City.

ORDER THEREON No.

Order thereon No 1946-33-7 dated 7-9-04 Government

over

SUBJECT

Whereas that Mr Annaji Rao and Mr Nagarkar were both wrong in having to each revises his predecessor's order in the matter of the revetment built by Mr Annaji Rao in front of his house in Alambadi and directing that the order passed by Mr Sparkes on Revision should be restored

MUGPH—5,000—21-6-05

Was

Mr. D Devaraj Urs ~~has made~~

~~his Respondent were made~~

~~in this~~ wrong in having

~~made~~ revised his predecessor's present President seems

order qua the Matter; ~~You can the~~ his predecessors

~~Hon'ble~~ the Order passed by Mr. direction. ~~The~~

~~Court~~

Sharker, ~~as President has to~~

~~for enjoy~~

~~and not to hearing~~ The party

Concerned ~~since~~ Contested his right

~~in~~ the manner permitted by

law.

6
3.2.1984

Seen
2/2/84

~~H.D~~
2/2/84

~~of~~

The President, Bdl. Comm. Ltd.
Bangalore City

~~D. Secretary Dist. Congress~~

~~Dy. Comm., Bangalore Dist~~

Signed before me
Comp 2/2/84